ORIGINIAL



1	BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION CIVED Arizona Corporation Commission
2	CARL J. KUNASEK COMMISSIONER-CHAIRMAN DOCKETED 1999 JUL 30 A 10: 58
3	
4	WILLIAM A. MUNDELL CONTROL
5	DOCKETED BY L. Ph.
6	THE GENERIC INVESTIGATION OF THE) DOCKET NO. E-00000A-99-0205 DEVELOPMENT OF A RENEWABLE)
7	PORTFOLIO STANDARD AS A PORTION OF THE RETAIL ELECTRIC COMPETITION TO THE DEVELOPMENT OF A
8	RULES) RENEWABLE PORTFOLIO) STANDARD
9	
10	Commonwealth Energy Corporation ("Commonwealth") responds to the questions
11	provided in the Procedural Order dated June 16, 1999 regarding the development of a renewable
12	portfolio standard through the attached Direct Testimony of Frederick M. Bloom.
13	RESPECTFULLY submitted this 30th day of July, 1999.
14	DOUGLAS C. NELSON, P.C.
15	
16	Orang C. Malson
17	Douglas-C. Nelson, Esq. 7000 North 16th Street, #120-307
18	Phoenix, Arizona 85020 Attorney on behalf of Commonwealth Energy
19	Corporation
20	ORIGINAL and ten copies of the foregoing filed this 30th day of July, 1999 to:
21	Docket Control
22	ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION 1200 West Washington Street
23	Phoenix, Arizona 85007
24 25	COPIES of the foregoing hand-delivered this 30th day of July, 1999 to:
26 27	Jerry Rudibaugh, Chief Hearing Officer Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, Arizona 85007

1	Paul Bullis	
	Janice Alward	
2	Chief Counsel - Legal Division	
_	ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION	
3	1200 West Washington	
	Phoenix, Arizona 85007	
4	Day Williamson Asting Director	
5	Ray Williamson, Acting Director Utilities Division	
3	ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION	
6	1200 West Washington	
Ĭ	Phoenix, Arizona 85007	
7		
	COPIES of the foregoing mailed	
8	this 30 th day of July, 1999 to:	
9	Lee Tanner	Michelle L. Hart
1.	Electrisol, LTD	Photocomm, Inc.
10	1215 E. Harmont Drive	7681 E. Gray Road
11	Phoenix, Arizona 85020	Scottsdale, Arizona 85260
11	Dole Pozers	Harry Braun, III
12	Dale Rogers Rocketdyne Division	Stirling Energy Systems
12	Boeing North America	6245 N. 24 th Parkway, Ste. 209
13	P.O. Box 7922, MS FA-66	Phoenix, Arizona 85016
15	Canoga Park, California 91309-7922	1 110 4 111 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 1
14		Robert Walker
	Steve Chalmers	Entech, Inc.
15	Powermark Corporation	1077 Chisolm Trail
	4044 E. Whitton	Keller, Texas 76248
16	Phoenix, Arizona 85018	
		Moneer H. Azzam
17	Michael Nearly	Ase Americas
	Ariseia	4 Suburban Park Drive
18	2034 North 13 th Street	Billerica, Maine 01821
19	Phoenix, Arizona 85001	Ray Dracker
19	Robert V. D'Aiello	Bechtel Corporation
20	1902 N. Country Club Drive, #6	P.O. Box 193965
20	Mesa, Arizona 85201	San Francisco, California 94119
21	1,1050, 1112010 00201	240. 2 24 200.
	Jan Miller	Barry L. Butler, Ph.D.
22	SRP	Science Applications Int'l Corp.
	1600 N. Priest Drive	10260 Campus Point Drive, MS-C2
23	Tempe, Arizona 85281	San Diego, California 92121
		D. 1
24	Vincent Hunt	Robert H. Annan
ا ہے	City of Tucson	6605 E. Evening Glow Drive
25	4004 South Park Avenue	Scottsdale, Arizona 85262
26	Building #2	
26	Tucson, Arizona 85714	

2	Gale Proski-Marsland Progressive Solar, Inc. 3100 N. Stone Avenue, #126 Tucson, Arizona 85705	Jim B. Combs Conservative Energy Systems 40 W. Baseline, #112 Mesa, Arizona 85210
3 4 5	Lawrence T. Slominski United Solar Systems Corp 9235 Brown Deer Road San Diego, California 92121	James H. Caldwell, Jr. CEERT P.O. Box 26 Tracy's Landing, Maryland 20779
6 7 8	Rick Gilliam Law Fund 2260 Baseline Road, Ste. 200 Boulder, Colorado 80302	Herb Hayden APS P.O. Box 53999, Mail Station 9110 Phoenix, Arizona 85072-3999
9	Sam Swanson 1744 Washington Ave., Extension Rensselaer, New York 12144-1038 Vahan Garboushian	Eric Wells Daggett Leasing Corporation 20668 Paseo De La Cumbre Yorba Linda, California 92887
11 12	Amonix, Inc. 3425 Fujita Street Torrance, California 90505	Alphonse Bellac York Research Corporation 6 Ladyslipper lane Old Lyme, Connecticut 06387
13 14 15	Jeffrey R. Golden AMOCO/Enron Solar Power Dev. P.O. Box 1188 Houston, Texas 75221-1188	Jane Weissman PB4U 15 Hayden Street Boston, Massachusetts 02131-401
16 17	Dan Greenberg Ascension Technology 235 Bear Hill Road Waltham, Main 02154	David Berry Resource Mgmt Int'l, Inc. 302 N. First Avenue, Ste. 810 Phoenix, Arizona 85003
18 19 20	Kathy Kelly Corp for Solar Technology & Renewable 6863 W. Charleston Las Vegas, Nevada 89117	Barry M. Goldwater, Jr. Ariselia 3104 E. Camelback Rd., Ste. 274 Phoenix, Arizona 85016
21 22	Rick Mac TEP 220 W. 6 th Street Tucson, Arizona 85701	Frank Brandt 1270 E. Appalachian Road Flagstaff, Arizona 86004
23 24	Solar Energy Industries Assoc. 122 C Street, N.W., 4 th Floor Washington, DC 20001-2109	Christy Herig 1617 Cole Blvd. Golden, Colorado 80401
252627	Howard Wenger Pacific Energy Group 32 Valla Court Walnut Creek, California 94596	Mark Randall Daystar Consulting, LLC P.O. Box 761 Clarksdale, Arizona 86324

1	Jane Winiecki	Leonard Gold
-	Yavapai-Apache Nation	398 S. Mill Avenue, Ste. 306
2	Economic Development Authority	Tempe, Arizona 85281
	P.O. Box 1188	
3	Camp Verde, Arizona 86322	Steven Secrest
		Golden Genesis Company
4	Fred Sanchez	P.O. Box 14230
_	Yavapai-Apache Nation	Scottsdale, Arizona 85267
5	Economic Development Authority P.O. Box 1188	Jeff Schlegel
6	Camp Verde, Arizona 86322	1167 W. Samalayuca Drive
Ĭ	Cump Voide, Mizolia 00322	Tucson, Arizona 85704-3224
7	Phyllis Bigpond	
	Inter Tribal Council of Arizona	Clyde Hostetter
8	2214 N. Central, Ste. 100	3055-190 N. Red Mountain
	Phoenix, Arizona 85004	Mesa, Arizona 85207
9		
	Robert Jackson	Betty Pruitt
10	Colorado River Indian Tribes	ACAA
	Route 1, Box 23-B	2627 N. 3 rd Street, Ste. 2
11	Parker, Arizona 85334	Phoenix, Arizona 85004
12	Steven Brown	Michael Grant
12	Yavapai Tribe	Gallagher & Kennedy
13	530 E. Merritt	2600 North Central Avenue
	Prescott, Arizona 86301	Phoenix, Arizona 85004-3020
14	,	,
l	Rory Majenty	Peter Glaser
15	Ft. McDowell Mohave Apache	Doherty, Rumble & Butler PA
	P.O. Box 17779	1401 New York Avenue, N.W. Ste. 1400
16	Fountain Hills, Arizona 85269	Washington, DC 20005
17	Rick Tewa	David G. Calley
1/	Office of Economic Development	Southwest Windpower, Inc.
18	The Hopi Tribe	2131 N. First Street
	P.O. Box 123	Flagstaff, Arizona 86004
19	Kykotsmovi, Arizona 86039	,
		Kenneth R. Saline
20	Deddie Tewa	K.R. Saline & Associates
	Native Sun	160 N. Pasadena, Ste. 101
21	P.O. Box 179	Mesa, Arizona 85201-6764
ا م	Peach Springs, Arizona 86434	Tom Louise
22	Cameron Danies	Tom Lepley
23	Hualapai Tribe	Phasor Energy Co. 4202 E. Evans Drive
23	P.O. Box 179	Phoenix, Arizona 85032
24	Peach Springs, Arizona 86434	Thooma, Arizona 05052
- '	2	Mike Patterson
25	Jimmy Daniels	SWANSEA
	Navajo Tribal Utility Authority	Rt. 1
26	P.O. Box 170	Lone Pine, California 93545
	Ft. Defiance, Arizona 86504	

1	Dellick Redello
2	Quantum Consulting
2	2030 Addison Street Berkeley, California 94704
3	Berkeley, Camornia 54764
4 5	Bryan Scott Canada 620 E. Broadway Lane Tempe, Arizona 85282
5	Tempe, Arrzona 03202
6	C. Webb Crockett Fennemore Craig
7	3003 N. Central Avenue, Ste. 2600 Phoenix, Arizona 85012-2913
8	Greg Patterson RUCO
9	2828 N. Central Ave., Ste. 1200 Phoenix, Arizona 85004
10	
11	Chris Sherring PVI
12	171 Commercial Street Sunnyvale, California 94086
13	Chris King Utility.Com, Inc.
14	828 San Pablo Avenue Albany, California 94706
15	• '
16	Donald W. Aitken, PH.D. Union of Concerned Scientists 2397 Shattuck Avenue, Ste. 203
17	Berkeley, California 94704
18	
19	. /
20	By Venus Green
21	c:\Commonwealth\Pleadings\Generic.Response
22	
23	
24	
25	

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF FREDERICK M. BLOOM

On behalf of Commonwealth Energy Corporation Docket No. E00000A-99-0205

July 30, 1999

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF FREDERICK M. BLOOM

2	2
3	,

1

WOULD YOU PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND BUSINESS ADDRESS? Q.

4 5

My name is Frederick Bloom and my business address is 15901 Red Hill Avenue, Suite 100, A. Tustin, California 92780.

6

BY WHOM ARE YOU EMPLOYED AND IN WHAT CAPACITY? Q.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20 21

23

22

24 25

26

27

I am Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer of Commonwealth Energy A. Corporation ("Commonwealth"). In 1997, I co-founded Commonwealth, which serves about 60,000 residential, small business, commercial and industrial and government customers in California. We are actively pursuing retail electric customers in other states, including Arizona.

WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY? O.

Commonwealth has had experience in California in implementing the "green power" program. A. Commonwealth is the largest retailer of "green power" in California. I wish to share what we learned through our experience.

Should there be an Environmental Standard in Arizona and why? 1.A.

Each state should adopt its own policies on environmental standards. Therefore, I do not have an opinion.

If so, what should be the objectives of an Environmental Standard and who should 1.B. bear the costs of the standard and how should those costs be collected?

If an environmental standard is developed, it should be structured in such a way as not to distort competitive market prices and it should apply equally to all competitors and utilities.

Will the proposed new Portfolio Standard meet the desired objectives or would you 2. propose an alternative mechanism?

If a new portfolio standard is developed, the availability of the technology and its reasonable cost should be assessed before its adopted. In general, I support economic incentives rather than regulated mandates, in the development of a portfolio standard.

- 3. Are you supportive of the proposed Portfolio Standard and, if not, describe any modifications that you would make to the proposed Portfolio Standard (including responses to 6 below) or describe your Company's proposed alternative mechanism.

 As of this time, I have not analyzed in detail the proposed portfolio standard.
- 4. If you are proposing an alternative to the proposed Standard, include a detailed description of: (1) technologies to be included; (2) timing; (3) any incentives; (4) cost projection of the alternative over the life of the alternative; (5) impact on customer rates; (6) all major assumptions for the proposed alternative.

I have no comment, but I'm most interested in what types of alternatives might be proposed by others.

5.A. Should the Standard be imposed only on sales in the competitive market?

No, I believe if any standard is adopted it should apply across the board to all sales, whether competitive or through the standard offer.

5.B. Instead of implementing a Standard as part of the Retail Electric Competition Rules, should the market (the retail consumers themselves) dictate the amount of "green" power to include in competitive energy choices? Should the Commission encourage Energy Service Providers to offer programs, instead of mandating rigid targets, allowing the market for such products to develop naturally?

In my experience, incentives work better than mandates, particularly when we are dealing with a new competitive environment which encourages new technologies and creative products. In general, I'm supportive of "green" power marketing programs.

5.C. Would it be appropriate to include recovery of costs of renewable systems in a systems benefits charge rather than the general cost/rate structure?

In California, a partial credit is given for the recovery of renewable costs under a framework similar to the Arizona system benefit charge. From my management prospective, I believe it would be appropriate to use a line item to disclose the additional cost of any system benefit credit or charge, rather than blend it into a tariff.

- 6. Please comment on the following aspects of the proposed new Portfolio Standard:
- 6.a. New section N allows for "environmental-friendly renewable electricity technologies" other than solar. Which technologies should be included in this subsection? Would those technologies be available in Arizona or work in Arizona?

In general, I'm supportive of a flexible approach to the "environmental-friendly renewable electricity technologies" which might encourage other opportunities for meeting environmental objectives.

6.b. In subsections A and B of the proposed Portfolio Standard, a schedule of portfolio percentages is defined. Is the size of portfolio percentage and timing of increases a reasonable strategy to be included in the competition rules? What alternatives would you propose and why?

Commonwealth has not evaluated the reasonableness of these percentages, although I believe it is important for the portfolio standard to be realistic, as to the availability of products, the lead time required, and of course the cost associated with any mandated standard.

6.c. The proposed Portfolio Standard includes incentives for in-state manufacturing and in-state installation of solar and other environmentally-friendly technologies. Are those incentives appropriate and substantial enough to have a positive impact on Arizona's economy and on Arizona economic development? What alternatives would you propose and why?

Generally, I don't have an opinion on the in-state incentives for solar and other environmentally-friendly manufacturing. However, I would note that new entrants might find it harder to enter the market, because incoming utilities will likely have closer ties to local

manufacturers who could offer the incumbent utility greater discounts and a wider customer base in which to spread these added costs. To that extent, the in-state incentives may create a barrier to entry.

6.d. What long-term benefits will the proposed Portfolio Standard have on the State of Arizona and its residents? Specific items to be addressed include job creation, maintenance of energy dollars in the local economy, load diversification, and pollution prevention.

I have not studied the long-term benefits that might have to be derived from the proposed portfolio standard.

6.e. What would the impact be on an average competitive (residential and commercial) customer's monthly bill (assume 1,000 kWh/month usage for residential) of the proposed Portfolio Standard? (Please state assumptions, including technology costs).

I do not have an answer as to the potential impacts on the average customer's monthly bill, but I do believe that this is an important consideration.

6.f. Section 1609.B.2 provides for determination of a cost/benefit point in 2001 prior to an increase in the percentage in 2002. Is it appropriate to determine the cost/benefits point during this proceeding (and the corresponding impact on customers) or in 2001? Should the Commission cap the impact that the Portfolio Standard may have on customers?

As I stated in the proceeding answer, it would be wise to closely assess the cost-benefit relationship of any portfolio standard and its impacts on electric competition and customer choice.

6.g. Section 1609.I of the proposed Portfolio Standard allows for the "banking" or sale of excess solar kWh. This could create a trading program, similar to the EPA's sulfur dioxide trading program. Do you have any suggestions about creating a credit trading or banking program?

The banking or trading of solar credits might have merit. But I believe it might evolve over time, and it might be something to consider after the competitive electric market matures. I believe that it might be premature to address this feature at this time.

6.h. Section 1609.F provides for penalties if ESPs fail to meet the proposed Portfolio Standard. Are there additional provisions needed to require ESPs to issue RFPs or negotiate contracts in a timely fashion rather than merely paying the penalty?

The penalties in the proposal are already substantial. Before raising the bar, I believe it might be best to first see how the "green" power market efforts occur under the natural competitive environment.

Should the proposed standard or any alternative that you are proposing apply to Standard Offer Customers in 2001? If yes, should the standard or alternative as applied to Standard Offer be energy driving (kWh) or dollar driven to limit or cap the impact on Standard Offer Customers? What would the impact be on an average residential and commercial customer's monthly bill? (Please state assumptions, including technology costs.) What mechanism should the Commission put in place to recover the costs from Standard Offer Customers?

As I mentioned previously, any portfolio standard should apply to standard offer customers if it is to apply to those seeking direct access. As to the other parts of this question, I have not conducted any study.

- 8. Other relevant comments?
 - I have none at this time.
- Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY?
- A. Yes, it does.